AFML-TR-71-235

X-RAY DIFFRACTION STUDIES OF THE ROLE OF HYDROGEN BONDING IN THE LOW TEMPERATURE BEHAVIOR OF MATERIALS

MARK A. GOLDSCHMIDT UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON RESEARCH INSTITUTE

HENRY A. WELLS, CAPT., USAF
AIR FORCE MATERIALS LABORATORY (LPA)

TECHNICAL REPORT AFML-TR-71-235

DECEMBER 1971



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE Sprin_field, Va 22151

AIR FORCE MATERIALS LABORATORY
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO

NOTICE

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the ho' ler or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.



Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, confractual obligations, or notice on a specific document.

MIREFURLE 10

		Security Classification					
GL DATA - R &	D						
		أوانتنا والمراجع المراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع					
2	. REPORT SE	CURITY CLASSIFICATION					
L	UNCLASS	IFIED					
2	b. GROUP						
banan Dawdin	Al						
rogen b ona in	g in the	Low lemperature					
., USAF							
S. TOTAL NO OF	PAGES	7b. NO OF REFS					
19	i	15					
. ORIGINATOR'S	REPORT NUMB	ER(5)					
AFML-TR-7	1-235						
ti., a report)	NO(5) (Any of	her numbers that may be easigned					
12 SPONSORING MI	LITARY ACTIV	/13 Y					
drates. A comments. A comments. A comments. A comments of the	ombinatio e tempera In all ca ify any p assigning or interp	n of known crystallo- ture dependence of ses, a contraction on ositive conclusions, the entire shrinkage					
	Irogen Bondin Irogen	UNCLASS 26. GROUP Progen Bonding in the 1., USAF 19 26. CRIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBE AFML-TR-71-235 26. (HER REPORT NO(S) (Any of the proport) Inlimited 12 SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVATIVE PROPORT) Air Force Materials L. Wright-Patterson Air rdings were obtained drates. A combination revealed the tempera ohydrates. In all ca					

DD FORM 1473

UNCLASSIFIED
Security Classification

UNCLASSIFIED
Security Classification

Security Classification		Link A		L.NK B		LINKC	
	COLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE		
Low Temperature X-ray X-ray Diffraction							
X-ray Y-ray Diffraction		1					
A-lay Dilifaction			i	<u> </u>			
		ſ					
		ł			1		
	İ	Ì]	
•			1		,	;	
					1		
		1	l		1	ļ	
					1	1	
		<u> </u>				1	
			•		İ	1	
		•		}	}	ĺ	
	1		}		1		
				1			
	1						
	İ		ĺ				
					<u> </u>		
	1				1]	
		1	Į		1	ĺ	
	i	1	1				
				:	İ		
]		
		l	Ţ		ļ	ļ	
]					
		!	}		1	İ	
					ļ		
		}	}		1		
		į					
	ļ	ĺ				1	
	}				į	ĺ	
	1	}					
					1	1	
		ļ			<u></u>	ļ	
					•	ł	
			1				
			,				

X-RAY DIFFRACTION STUDIES OF THE ROLE OF HYDROGEN BONDING IN THE LOW TEMPERATURE BEHAVIOR OF MATERIALS

MARK A. GOLDSCHMIDT
UNIVERSITY OF DAYTON RESEARCH INSTITUTE
HENRY A. WELLS, CAPT., USAF
AIR FORCE MATERIALS LABORATORY (LPA)

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

FOREWORD

This report was prepared jointly by the University of Dayton Research Institute and the Air Force Materials Laboratory. Work performed by the Air Force Materials Laboratory was performed under Project No. 7360, "Chemical, Physical and Thermodynamic Properties of Aircraft, Missile and Spacecraft Materials", and Task No. 736005, "Compositional, Atomic and Molecular Analysis of Experimental Materials for Advanced Air Force Systems". The portion of the work performed by the University of Dayton Research Institute was performed under United States Air Force Contract No. F33615-69-C-1330 (Project 7360; Task 736001 and 736005) with Mr. Hyman Marcus, AFML/LPT, as the contract monitor. Capt. H. A. Wells, Materials Physics Division, LPA, was the technical project engineer.

The report covers work performed inhouse, by the authors, during November and December 1970. The manuscript was released for publication by the authors in October 1971.

The authors would like to express thanks to Dr. R. L. Crane, AFML/LLS, for the use of his least squares program.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved.

FREEMAN F. BENILEY

Chief, Analytical Branch Materials Physics Division

Air Force Materials Laboratory

ABSTRACT

X-Ray Diffraction strip chart recordings were obtained at 700°K and 300°K for powder samples of urea and seven carbohydrates. A combination of known crystallographic data and a least squares fit program revealed the temperature dependence of the unit cell for urea and three of the carbohydrates. In all cases, 4 contraction on cooling was observed.

While the volume of data produced did not justify any positive conclusions. the results did not contradict the model of Sklar et al. assigning the entire shrinkage to hydrogen bond contraction. The model remains valid for interpretation of infrared data, at least until further x-ray data becomes available.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION		PAGI
I	Introduction	1
II	Materials and Apparatus	2
III	Results	3
IV	Discussion	4
٧	Conclusion	6
	References	19

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
I	X-Ray Data for Urea	7
II	X-Ray Data for Sucrose	8
III	X-Ray Data for β-Cellobiose	9
IV	X-Ray Data for α-n-Acetyl-Glucosamine	10
٧	X-Ray Data for α-D-Glucose	11
VI	X-Ray Data for β-D-Glucose	12
VII	X-Ray Data for Raffinose	13
VIII	X-Ray Data for Maltose	14
IX	X-Ray Data for Arabinose	15
X	Unit Ce ¹ l Refinements at Room and Liquid Nitrogen Temperatures	16
XI	Unit Cell Parameters of Various Sugars at Room Temperature	17
XII	Low Temperature X-Ray Diffraction Simple Sugars	18

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, low temperature infrared and Raman spectroscopy have been shown to be very sensitive tools for characterization of solid structures (Ref 1). These tools are even more sensitive when the structures in question have network hydrogen bonding (Refs 2, 3). Interpretation, however, has not kept pace with the volume of new data being produced. One of the principle reasons for this lag is a lack of x-ray structural data at non-ambient temperatures for purposes of comparison with spectral results for model systems. A complete structural solution for a series of compounds at a series of temperatures is a rather formidable task at present. However, a first approximation to this information, the temperature dependence of the unit cell parameters, is in principle quicker and easier to obtain.

A series of compounds including urea and seven carbohydrates were selected for study. Urea was a calibration compound, since the temperature dependence of its structure is already in the literature (Ref 4). The other compounds were chosen from the carbohydrates because of the uniquely high level of solid state hydrogen bonding present in this class. All compounds chosen were readily available in pure, highly crystalline form. In addition, only compounds whose room temperature crystal structures and room and low temperature vibrational spectra were known were chosen.

The ultimate goal of this study was to correlate temperature dependent changes in vibrational spectra with structural changes found by x-ray diffraction and thus to gain an understanding of the role of hydrogen bonding in determining the temperature dependence of materials' properties. This report discusses the x-ray portion of the study, with further discussions to be the subject of a future report.

II. MATERIALS AND APPARATUS

the Constitute and the contract of the contrac

Commercial samples of urea, α -D-glucose, β -D-glucose, raffinose (hydrate), α -n-acetyi-D-glucosamine, β -maltose, β -cellobiose, β -arabinose, and sucrose were prepared by grinding about 50 mg in a boron carbide mortar and adding approximately five drops of paraffin oil to form a paste-like material. The paste was smeared onto a copper specimen mount which was attached to a cold finger extending from a dewar. The specimen mount was housed in an evacuable chamber equipped with beryllium windows. Low-temperatures were achieved through conduction by adding appropriate coolants (e.g., liquid nitrogen, dry ice and acetone, ice and water, etc.).

A Siemens generator and diffractometer equipped with a nickel-filtered copper x-ray source were employed in conjunction with a Hamner scintillation counter, Hamner electronics, and a Brown recorder. The 20 drive was fixed at 0.5°/min. with chart speed of 0.5 in./min.

Diffraction spectra wire obtained for each specimen at room temperature and at liquid nitrogen temperature under reduced pressure (approximately 100 μ). The specimen mount was warmed to room temperature, and the entire experiment was repeated. The average of the two runs was then used as input for a least squares unit cell parameter refinement program. The overall standard deviation was demonstrated to be 0.01°20.

Angular calibration was achieved by observing the copper diffraction spectrum throughout the range 40° to 75° 29 and measuring the deviation from ASTM card # 4-0836. Temperature calibration was accomplished by comparing diffraction data with that of Skiar et al. (Ref 4). Specimen temperatures of -190° \pm 5°C are estimated (previous unpublished results showed specimen temperature of -191°C as measured with an iron-constantan thermocouple connected to a Leeds and Northrup potentiometer).

III. RESULTS

Tables I through IX list diffraction data obtained from the sugars investigated in this work. Good reproducibility of data was achieved in all cases, but a discrepancy exists between our data and that calculated from unit cell parameters in the literature (Refs 8, 9, 10, 11, 12) for the compounds α -D-glucose, β -D-glucose, raffinose, maltose and β -arabinose. Both the excellent precision (\pm 0.01°2 θ) attained in this investigation and the good agreement of our diffraction data with that calculated from the literature for urea, sucrose, β -cellobiose, and α -n-acetyl-glucosamine, makes experimental error very improbable. The infrared spectra of most of the materials used in this investigation agreed with spectra previously published in the literature (Refs 13, 14, 15). We cannot, therefore, explain this mysterious source of discrepancy or where it originates.

A least squares refinement program provided the data listed in table X. Table XI provides data for unit cell parameters at room temperature as reported in the literature. All of the data in table X is within a standard deviation range of \pm 0.01Å for the cell length and \pm 0.5° for the axial angle β . All refinements converged within five cycles reaching a minimum 20 tolerance of 0.04 and a maximum 20 tolerance of 0.10. Input for the computer runs consisted of approximate cell parameters and observed 20 values. The cell parameters were estimated by solving a system of equations simultaneously, each equation being generated from the formula.

$$\frac{1}{d^2(hkl)} = \frac{\frac{h^2}{a^2} + \frac{\ell^2}{c^2} - \frac{2h\ell}{ac}}{\sin^2 \beta} + \frac{k^2}{b^2}$$

The hkl values must be correct or an anomalous solution will occur. Usually there are enough diffraction lines present to set up more than one set of equations. Comparison between the sets then determines if the proper reflection planes have been chosen and, if so, the initial cell parameters to be used for input.

IV. DISCUSSIONS

A. Urea

Most materials are known to contract with decreasing temperature. Such an effect observed in the tetragonal unit cell of urea by Skaler et al. indicates that both dimensions decrease at a decreasing rate with temperature (Ref 4). They concluded that the intramolecular parameters were essentially unaffected within the error limits of the study, but the hydrogen bonds contracted. Grea has two distinct N-H···O bonds, one essentially parallel to the a direction and the other essentially parallel to the c axis. Both bonds are about 3.0Å nitrogen to oxygen distance at room temperature. Upon cooling, the bond in the a direction contracts about 2% and the bond in the c direction contracts about 1%. Unfortunately, the study by Sklar et al. using x-rays, did not resolve the proton positions, so it was not possible to follow the temperature dependence of the proton positions or the N-H···O angles. The present work essentially confirms the results of the earlier study.

B. The Carbohydrates

Since lot temperature unit cell parameters were obtained in only three of the carbohydrate cases attempted, there is rather limited data available for quantitative interpretations as originally intended. The available data is sufficient, however, for some qualitative observations. Of the nine axial contractions observed, eight fall within the range defined by the urea standard and the ninth exceeds this range only by .01Å. The contractions are more or less isotropic and the exceptions to this rule are reasonable in terms of the known hydrogen bonding pattern of the crystals. The unit cell parameters at both temperatures as well as relative and absolute changes are shown in Table XII.

C. Sucrose

Sucrose has cell axes of roughly equal length and a roughly isotropic network of hydrogen bonds (Ref 7). The observed contraction is roughly incropic in both absolute and relative terms. All three contractions fall into the urea range.

D. a-n-Acetyl-D-Glucosamine

This sugar shows contractions which are nearly isotropic in absolute terms, but with a relative contraction in the B direction about double that in the other two directions (Ref 5). Upon examination of the structure, it is found that the hydrogen bonding pattern projects about 2.0 hydrogen bond units on each axis. Thus the absolute contractions reflect the isotropic hydrogen bonding and the greater relative contraction in the B direction reflects the packing of the rings in the AC plane. It might also be mentioned however, that the hydrogen bonding in the B direction is in the form of infinite chains, while the bonding in the other two directions is in the form of single unit hydrogen bonds.

E. Cellobiose

Cellobina hows contractions more or less similar to the other two sugars in the B and C directions but significantly less in the a direction (Ref 6). This is fairly consistent with the known hydrogen bonding pattern, since of the eight hydrogen bonds per unit cell, only one, the 0 (4) - 0 (2') has a major projection in this direction. The reason for the angular contraction is not obvious.

V. CONCLUSIONS

While the low number of examples successfully investigated precludes this study from deciding the relative importance of hydrogen bond contractions and molecular geometry changes in producing unit cell contractions, it still has some value. In particular, the three new results do not contradict the model of Sklar et al. assigning the entire unit cell shrinkage to hydrogen bond contraction (Ref 4). This model then should be retained for comparison to infrared data, at least until more extensive x-ray data is available.

TABLE I X-RAY DATA FOR UREA

	ROOM TEMPE	ERATURE	LIQU	ID NITROGEN	N TEMPERATURE
<u>20</u>	₫Å	<u>Intensity*</u>	<u>2</u> 0	<u>ďÅ</u>	<u>Intensity*</u>
22.31	3.985	4200	22.60	3.934	4400
24.67	3.609	260	24.88	3.579	280
29.39	3.039	450	29.66	3.012	580
31.74	2.819	220	32.20	2.780	190
35.59	2.522	410	36.10	2.488	450
37.20	2.417	150	37.64	2.390	160
40.60	2.222	70	41.10	2.196	80
41.68	2.167	50	41.90	2.156	50

^{*} All intensity values are in counts per second.

TABLE II X-RAY DATA FOR SUCROSE

R	OOM TEMPE	RATURE	LIQUI	D NITROGEN	TEMPERATURE
<u>20</u>	dÅ	Intensity*	<u>2e</u>	<u>dÅ</u>	Intensity*
11.71	7.557	150	11.78	7.512	120
12.78**	6.927	110			
12.89**	6.868	110	12.89	6.873	80
13.18	6.717	130	13.26	6.677	150
15.50	5.717	60	15.61	5.677	70
16.75	5.293	30			
18.88	4.700	250	19.02	4.666	250
19.62	4.524	200	19.75	4.495	180
20.40	4.353	90	20.52	4.328	50
20.88	4.254	50	21.00	4.226	70
22.08	4.026	70	22.24	3.997	80
22.54	3.944	30			
23.56	3.776	50	23.75	3.746	50
24.80	3.590	310	24.99	3.563	250
25.24	3.528	150	25.41	3.505	140
26.50	3.363	30	26.72	3.336	30
27.41	3.254	30	27.62	3.230	30
28.64	3.117	30			
31.02	2.883	50			

^{*} All intensity values are in counts per second.

^{**} Appears to be two peaks.

TABLE III X-RAY DATA FOR 8-CELLOBIOSE

	ROOM TEMPE	ERATURE	LIQUI	D NITROGEN	TEMPERATURE
<u>2e</u>	₫Å	<u>Intensity*</u>	<u>2e</u>	₫Å	Intensity*
10.49	8.433	300	10.54	8.393	300
13.53	6.544	30	13.60	6.511	30
17.52	5.062	90	17.56	5.050	80
18.70	4.740	320	18.83	4.712	280
20.27	4.381	720	20.47	4.338	700
22.12	4.018	130	22.30	3.986	140
23.64	3.765	140	23.81	3.737	110
24.62	3.616	110	24.82	3.587	120
25.26	3.526	30	25.34	3.515	40
27.34	3.262	90	27.54	3.239	80
30.64	2.918	70	30.86	2.897	80

^{*} All intensity values are in counts per second.

TABLE IV X-RAY DATA FOR α -n-ACETYL-GLUCOSAMINE

ROOM TEMPERATURE		LIQUID NITROGEN TEMPERATURE			
<u>20</u>	₫Å	<u>Intensity*</u>	<u>2e</u>	₫Å	Intensity*
15.52	5.709	200	15.62	5.673	160
16.00	5.539	50	16.18	5.478	40
17.14	5.173	120	17.25	5.140	120
18.28	4.853	90	18.54	4.786	90
19.99	4.442	200	20.26	4.383	210
20.26	4.383	220	20.45	4.343	240
20.89	4.252	330	21.14	4.202	300
23.71	3.752	40			
24.47	3.638	30			
24.80	3.590	30			
25.24	3.528	30			
25.60	3.480	40			
25.94	3.435	40			
27.28	3.269	30			
27.75	3.215	430	28.17	3.168	440
30.15	2.964	90	30.50	2.931	80
30.92	2.892	120	31.42	2.847	200
31.40	2.849	240	31.54	2.836	250
32.04	2.793	10	32.18	2.782	ΰ 0
34.68	2.586	10	34.98	2.565	40
36.62	2.455	30	36.79	2.443	49
37.10	2.423	70	37.54	2.396	60

^{*} All intensity values are in counts per second.

TABLE V X-RAY DATA FOR α -D-GLUCOSE

ROOM TEMPERATURE			LIQ	LIQUID NITROGEN TEMPERATURE			
<u>20</u>	<u>dÅ</u>	Intensity*	<u>20</u>	dÅ	<u>Intensity*</u>		
12.88**	6.874	150					
14.75	6.006	100					
17.70	5.011	50					
18.60	4.770	100					
18.88	4.700	90					
19.90	4.461	550	20.02	4.435	600		
20.36	4.362	440	20.49	4.335	470		
20.72	4.289	490	20.86	4.258	490		
21.81	4.073	50	21.95	4.049	50		
22.96	3.866	210	23.08	3.852	300		
23.64	3.764	30					
24.80	3.590	10					
25.61	3.478	170	25.76	3.458	170		
26.74	3.334	60	26.90	3.314	60		
27.80	3.209	80	27.96	3.190	90		
28.52	3.129	300	28.65	3.116	300		
29.59	3.020	60	29.66	3.012	50		
30.85	2.898	30					
31.38	2.850	100					

 $[\]mbox{\ensuremath{\star}}$ All intensity values are in counts per second.

^{**} Possibly two peaks.

TABLE VI X-RAY DATA FOR B-D-GLUCOSE

	ROOM TEMPE	RATURE	LIQUI	D NITROGEN	TEMPERATURE
20	<u>dÅ</u>	<u>Intensity*</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>dÅ</u>	<u>Intensity</u>
16.50	5.372	320	16.69	5.311	260
17.07	5.194	380	17.10	5.185	340
17.92	4.950	70	18.08	4.905	90
19.34	4.589	500	19.75**	4.495	410
20.60	4.311	350	20.95	4.240	280
21.70	4.096	90	21.80	4.077	120
23.54	3.780	500	23.94**	3.715	400
24.60	3.619	240	24.95	3.569	200
26.90	3.314	140	26.95	3.308	120
28.30	3.154	160	28.07	3.178	170
28.56	3.128	100	28.84	3.094	90
31.40	2.849	60			
31.85	2.810	180	32.06	2.791	180
32.92	2.720	70			

^{*} All intensity values are in counts per second.

^{**} Peak considerably broader than room temperature run and considerable decrease in intensity.

TABLE VII X-RAY DATA FUR RAFFINOSE

R(OM TEMPE	RATURE	LIQUI	D NITROGEN	TEMPERATURE
20	<u>dÅ</u>	<u>Intensity*</u>	<u>23</u>	₫ <u>Å</u>	Intensity*
12.49**	7.087	190	12.55**	* 7.053	246
12.91	6.857	150	12.99	6.814	30
13.31	6.652	230	13.47	6.574	60
14.46**	6.125	130	14.51	6.104	70
16.28**	5.445	80	16.48**	5.378	50
16.66**	5.321	100	16.80	5.277	80
17.98	4.934	80	18.10	4.901	50
19.42**	4.570	130	19.50	4.552	86
20.25	4.385	30	20.55	4.322	50
20.82	4.266	380	20.92**	4.246	160
22.44	3.962	60	22.55	3.943	30
22.94**	3.876	100	23.04	3.859	60
23.52	3.782	220	23.67	3.759	60
24.68**	3.607	60			
24.96	3.567	50 -	25.14	3.542	20
25.69	3.467	160	25.94**	3.434	70
26.40	3.376	60	26.58	3.353	30
28.35	3.148	60	28.45	3.137	30
29.01	3.078	70	29.19	3.059	30
30.52	2.929	30			
31.12	2.874	30	31.32	2.855	50

^{*} All intensity values are in counts per second.

^{**} Possibly two peaks.

^{***} Considerable increase in intensity and sharpening of peak,

TABLE VIII X-RAY DATA FOR MALTOSE

RO	OM TEMPERA	TURE	L	.IQUID N	ITROGEN	TEMPERATURE
<u>20</u>	<u>dÅ</u>	Intensity*		<u>2</u> 0	₫Å	Intensity*
14.43	6.137	170	14	1.48	6.116	170
18.39	4.824	70	18	3.58**	4.788	50
19.28	4.604	180	19	9.50	4.552	150
20.15	4.407	220	20	35	4.364	220
21.14	4.202	120	21	.34	4.163	100
21.92	4.054	260	22	2.11	4.020	250
23.62	3.767	50	23	3.75	3.746	40
24.21	3.676	50	24	1.50	3.633	40
25.16	3.540	50	25	5.29	3,521	40
26.22	3.400	80	26	5.44	3.370	80
27.82	3.206	40	28	3.00	3.186	30
29.04	3.075	40	29	3.25	3.053	30
30.03	2.976	40	30	.28	2.952	30
30.92	2.892	40	31	-08	2.877	30
31.81	2.813	50	32	2.05	2.792	40

^{*} All intensity values are in counts per second.

^{**} Peak somewhat broader than Room Temperature run.

TABLE IX X-RAY DATA FOR ARABINOSE

ROOM TEMPERATURE			LIQUID	LIQUID NITROGEN TEMPERATURE			
<u>20</u>	<u>dÅ</u>	Intensity*	<u>2e</u>	<u>dÅ</u>	<u>Intensity*</u>		
14.30	6.194	420	14.52**	6.100	300		
16.33	5.426	420	16.53**	5.362	260		
18.24	4.864	70	18.26	4.858	70		
20.45	4.343	560	20.74**	4,283	440		
22.86	3.889	300	23.20	3.834	210		
23.30	3.818	50	23.66	3.760	70		
24.64	3.612	50	24.98	3.564	40		
27.37	3.260	120	27.76	3.216	100		
27.73	3.216	440	28.14	3.171	360		
28.86	3.093	60	29.28	3.050	40		
29.41	3.037	70	29.68	3.010	70		
33.08	2.708	320	33.43	2.680	300		

^{*} All intensity values are in counts per second.

^{**} Peak somewhat broader than room temperature run and considerable decreas; in intensity.

TABLE X UNIT CELL REFINEMENTS AT ROOM AND LIQUID NITROGEN TEMPERATURES

	<u>a</u>	<u>p</u>	<u>c</u>	<u>B</u>
UREA				
Room Temperature	5.64		4.70	
Liquid Nitrogen Temperature	5.56		4.68	
SUCROSE				
Room Temperature	10.86	8.71	7.76	102.9°
Liquid Nitrogen Temperature	10.79	8.65	7.70	103.0°
CELLOBIOSE				
Room Temperature	10.98	13.11	5.09	90.9°
Liquid Nitrogen Temperature	10.96	13.02	5.05	89.4°
α-n-ACETYL-GLUCOSAMINE				
Room Temperature	17.27	4.84	9.71	113.6°
Liquid Nitrogen Temperature	11.20	4.78	9.66	113.7°

TABLE XI UNIT CELL PARAMETERS
OF VARIOUS SUGARS AT ROOM TEMPERATURE

COMPOUND	<u>a</u>	<u>b</u>	<u>c</u>	<u>B</u>	Ref
Urea	5.662	***	4.716		1
Sucrose	10.89	8.69	7.77	103.0°	4
β-Cellobiose	10.94	13.05	5.11	90.0°	3
α -n-acetyl-glucosamine	11.25	4.82	9.72	113.7°	2
α-D-glucose	10.36	14.84	4.97		8
β-D-glucose	9.29	12.65	6.70		5
Raffinose	8.966	12.327	23.837		6
Maltose	4.92	15.23	10.68	97.53°	9
β-Arabinose	5.925	7.820	13.354	99.45°	7

TABLE XII

Results:	Low Temperature X-Ra	y Diffraction	Simple Sugars		
	300°K	100°K	Ratio	Δ%	7
α-n-Acaty1-D-Gl	ucosamine				
a	11.27	11.20	.9938	.02	.07Å
В	4.84	4.78	.9876	1.24	.06Å
С	7.76	7.70	48ور	.52	.05Å
β	113.60	113.70	.9991	.09	.1°
Sucrose					
હ	10.86	10.79	.9936	.64	.07Å
В	8.71	8.65	.9931	.69	.06Å
C	7.76	7.70	.9023	.77	.06Å
β	102.9	103.0	.9990	.1	.1°
β-Cellobiose					
a	10.98	10.96	.9982	.18	.02Å
В	13.11	13.02	.9931	.69	.09Å
С	5.09	5.05	.9921	.78	.04Å
β	90.9 °	89.4 °	. 9835	1.65	1.3 °
U∵ea					
a	5.€4	5.56	.9858	1,42	۸80 ،
В					
C	4.70	4.68	.000;	.43	.02Å

REFERENCES

- T. S. Hermann, S. R. Harvey, C. N. Honts, Applied Spectroscopy <u>23</u> #5, p. 451 (1969).
- 2. A. J. Michell. Aust. J. Chem. <u>21</u> p. 1257 (1968).
- 3. J. E. Katon, J. T. Miller, F. F. Bentley, Carbohyd. Res., 10, p 505 (1969).
- 4. N. Skler, M. E. Senko, and Ben Post; "Thermal Effects in Urea: The Crystal St ucture at -140°C and at Room Temperature."; Acta Cryst., 14, 716, 1961.
- L. N. Johnson; "The Crystal Structure of N-Acetyl-α-D-glucosamine."; Acta Cryst., 21, 885, 1966.
- 6. R. A. Jacobson, J. A. Wunderlich, and W. N. Lipscomb; "The Crystal and Molecular Structure of Cellobiose."; Acta Cryst., 14, 598, 1961.
- 7. C. A. Beevers, T. R. R. McDonald, J. H. Robertson, and F. Stern; 'The Crystal Structure of Sucrose"; Acta Cryst., 5, 689, 1952.
- 8. W. G. Ferrier; "The Crystal and Molecular Structure of β -D-glucose."; Acta Cryst., 16, 1023,]963. ___
- 9. H. M. Berman; "The Crystal Structure of a Trisaccharide, Raffinose Pentahydrate."; Acta Cryst., <u>B26</u>, 290, 1970.
- S. H. Kin, and G. A. Jeffrey; "The Crystal Structure of β-DL-Arabinose.";
 Acta. Cryst., 22, 537, 1967.
- 11. T. R. R. McDonald, and C. A. Beevers; "The Crystal and Molecular Structure of α -Glucose."; Acta Cryst. $\underline{5}$, 654, 1952.
- G. J. Quir'ey; "The Solid State Conformation of Maltopyranose and its Polymer."; Thesis, State University College of Forestry at Syracuse University, June 1969.
- 13. R. G. Zhbankov, "Infrared Spectra of Cellulose and its Derivatives."; Consultants Bureau, New York, 1966.
- 14. Tipson, R. S. and Isbell, H. S., J. of Research of N.B.S., 66A, p. 31.
- Prima, A. M., Zhbankov, R. G., Marypov, R., Z. Struk. Khimu, 5, #6, pp. 845-852.